Interview Summary	09/720,086		LI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Alana M. Harr	is, Ph.D.	1643	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.</u> .	(3) <i>Dan Nevrivy</i> .			
(2) Karen R. Markowicz.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>06 June 2007</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3-9,13 and 25-50</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not re	eached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the ame	he examiner ag endments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
•				
	•			
•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	 I
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed claim language and the corresponding amendments that should be made in order to have claims in condition for allowance.